

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,538	12/28/2001	Stephen D. Pacetti	50623.149	3811
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB	
Suite 300	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
One Maritime Plaza San Francisco, CA 94111			1762	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A-S			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/040,538	PACETTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jennifer K Michener	1762	<u>. </u>			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	SS			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	ONTH(S) FROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faili	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a role. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON totals cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 December 2001.					
2a) 🗌	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ger Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∟ 2\\\\	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
	ition Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	ımıner. Lassostod ör b\⊟ objected tö	by the Examiner				
10)L	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t	j accepted of b)∟j objected to o the drawing(s) he held in abeva	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	! 1.121(d).			
111	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO)-152.			
	,						
_	r under 35 U.S.C. § 119	05 H C C	\$ 440(a) (d) or (f)				
I	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 0.5.C.	9 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
1	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No				
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have bee	n received in this National S	tage			
	application from the International E	Büreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
,	* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachm	ent(s)						
1) 🗌 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	/ — Daman M	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Pátent Drawing Review (PTO-9 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ aper No(s)/Mail Date	⁴⁰ /	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
1 '							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
 - Claims 1-7, 9-26, drawn to a method of coating, classified in class 427, ١. subclass 2.1+.
 - Claim 8, drawn to a coated device, classified in class 623, subclass 1+. II.
 - Claims 27-32, drawn to a coating system, classified in class 118, subclass III. 300.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The 2. inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as applying a solvent-free composition.
- Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The 3. inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as for coating automotive parts.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,538

Art Unit: 1762

4. Inventions III and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as a dip tank.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: application of the flow of gas in the same direction as the composition spray, at an angle relative to the composition, and in the opposite direction of the composition spray, as outlined in claims 12-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,538

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,538

Art Unit: 1762

9. A telephone call was made to Paul Meyer on 3/26/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,538 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Kolb Michener

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

February 26, 2004